

# FLAT SUBMERSIONS AND HORIZON IMMERSIONS

F.J. Craveiro de Carvalho

Dpto. de Matemática  
 Universidade de Coimbra

## Abstract

The notion of flat submersion is introduced. We prove that no covering map, with order greater than 1, is 1-flat, relate 2-flat submersions  $M^{n+1} \rightarrow S^n$  to horizon immersions and show that  $k$ -flat submersions  $M^m \rightarrow S^n$  give rise to embeddings  $M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ .

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this note all the manifolds are  $C^\infty$ , Hausdorff, compact, connected and boundaryless. All the maps are  $C^\infty$ , unless otherwise stated. If  $M$  and  $N$  are manifolds, of dimensions  $m$  and  $n$  respectively, we shall denote them by  $M^m$  and  $N^n$ .

Let  $\Pi: M^m \rightarrow N^n$  be a submersion.

### Definition 1:

We say that  $\Pi$  is a  $k$ -flat submersion ( $k \geq m-n$ ) if there exists a map  $F: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  such that, for every  $y \in N^n$ ,  $F|_{\Pi^{-1}(y)}$  is an embedding.

### Proposition 1:

If  $\Pi$  is a  $k$ -flat submersion then  $(\Pi, F): M^m \rightarrow N^n \times \mathbb{R}^k$  is an embedding.

### Proof:

It is clear that  $(\Pi, F)$  is  $C^\infty$  and injective. The only thing to worry about is the rank of  $(\Pi, F)$ . Let  $x$  be any point in  $M^m$ . We prove that the kernel of  $(\Pi, F)_{*x}: T_x M^m \rightarrow T_{\Pi(x)} N^n \times T_{F(x)} \mathbb{R}^k$  is 0-dimensional. As  $\ker(\Pi, F)_{*x} = \ker \Pi_{*x} \cap \ker F_{*x}$ , if  $v \in \ker(\Pi, F)_{*x}$  we have  $F_{*x}(v) = 0$ . On the other hand

\* AMS subject classification 57 D 40

\*\* Supported in part by Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation and INIC, Lisbon, Portugal

$\ker \Pi_{*x}, \ker \Pi_{*x} = i_{*x}(T_x \Pi^{-1}(\Pi(x)))$ , where  $i$  is the inclusion  $\Pi^{-1}(\Pi(x)) \rightarrow M$ , and  $F|_{\Pi^{-1}(x)}$  is an embedding, therefore  $v$  must be zero.

## 2. Flat covering maps

In this section we deal with the case  $m=n$ . We shall consider a more general situation. Let  $M$  be a compact, path-connected topological space and  $\Pi: M \rightarrow N$  be a covering map, where  $N$  is also a topological space.

Proposition 2:

Let  $\Pi: M \rightarrow N$  be a covering map such that, for any  $y \in N$ ,  $\# \Pi^{-1}(y) > 1$ . If  $f: M \rightarrow R$  is a continuous map then there exist  $x$  and  $y$  in the same fibre such that  $f(x) = f(y)$ .

Proof:

We use some facts about covering spaces [4]. Let  $x_1$  be an absolute maximum and  $y_1$  be an absolute minimum of  $f$ . Take a continuous path  $\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow M$  such that  $\gamma(0) = x_1$  and  $\gamma(1) = y_1$ . Choose  $x_2 \neq x_1$ , with  $\Pi(x_2) = \Pi(x_1)$  and consider the lift  $\gamma'$  of  $\Pi \circ \gamma$  such that  $\gamma'(0) = x_2$ . We define the map  $\phi: [0,1] \rightarrow R$  by taking  $\phi(t)$  to be  $f \circ \gamma(t) - f \circ \gamma'(t)$ . If  $\phi(0)$  or  $\phi(1)$  is zero then the proposition is proved. If not,  $\phi$  must have a zero  $t_0$ , because  $\phi(0) > 0$  and  $\phi(1) < 0$ , and the proposition follows.

An immediate consequence is

Corollary 1:

If  $f: S^n \rightarrow R$  is continuous then there exists  $x \in S^n$  such that  $f(x) = f(-x)$ .

Of course corollary 1 can also be deduced from the Borsuk-Ulam theorem [4].

## 3. Flat submersions and horizon immersions

We start with the definition of horizon immersion [2]. Let  $f: M \rightarrow R^{m+1}$  be an immersion and  $L$  denote an affine line in  $R^{m+1}$ .

Definition 2:

We say that  $f: M \rightarrow R^{m+1}$  is a horizon immersion with base-line  $L$  if, for every  $x \in M$ , the affine tangent space to  $f(M)$  at  $f(x)$  does not contain  $L$ .

In what follows we shall be considering submersions  $\Pi: M^{n+1} \rightarrow S^n$  and

we shall use the following chain of diffeomorphisms

$$S^n \times R^2 \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} S^n \times R^+ \times R \xrightarrow{\lambda_2} R^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \times R$$

where  $\lambda_1(x, t_1, t_2) = (x, e^{t_1}, t_2)$ ,  $\lambda_2(x, t_1, t_2) = (t_1 x, t_2)$  and  $R^+$  denotes the positive reals. The inclusion  $i: R^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \times R \rightarrow R^{n+2}$  will also be used and the composition  $i \circ \lambda_2 \circ \lambda_1$  will be denoted by  $\lambda$ . From now on  $L$  will denote the affine line  $\{(0, \dots, 0, t) \in R^{n+2}; t \in R\}$ .

**Proposition 3:**

a) If  $\Pi: M^{n+1} \rightarrow S^n$  is a 2-flat submersion then  $\lambda O(\Pi, F)$  is a horizon embedding, with base-line  $L$ , such that  $(\lambda O(\Pi, F))^{-1}(L) = \emptyset$ .

b) If  $f: M^{n+1} \rightarrow R^{n+2}$  is a horizon embedding with base-line  $L$  such that  $f^{-1}(L) = \emptyset$  then  $\Pi: M^{n+1} \rightarrow S^n$  given by

$\Pi(x) = \{f_1(x), \dots, f_{n+1}(x)\} / \|(f_1(x), \dots, f_{n+1}(x))\|$  is a 2-flat submersion. ( $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the standard norm in  $R^{n+2}$ ).

**Proof:**

a) If  $\Pi$  is 2-flat then  $(\Pi, F)$  is transverse to  $\{y\} \times R^2$ , for every  $y \in S^n$ . It is easy to check that  $\lambda O(\Pi, F)$  is also transverse to each 2-plane containing  $L$  and therefore no affine tangent  $(n+1)$ -plane to  $\lambda O(\Pi, F)(M)$  contains  $L$ . That is to say,  $\lambda O(\Pi, F)$  is a horizon embedding.

b) We replace  $f$  by  $f': M^{n+1} \rightarrow R^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \times R$ . Using  $\lambda_1^{-1}$  and  $\lambda_2^{-1}$  we obtain a map  $M \rightarrow S^n \times R^2$  of which the first component is  $\Pi$  and the second one has the required property for 2-flatness. We remark that  $\Pi$  has no critical points because no tangent  $(n+1)$ -plane to  $f(M)$  contains  $L$ .

**Corollary 2:**

If  $\Pi: M^{n+1} \rightarrow S^n$  is a 2-flat submersion then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^n \times S^1$ .

**Proof:**

Robertson and Chillingworth have shown [2] [3] that if  $f: M^{n+1} \rightarrow R^{n+2}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , is a horizon immersion with base-line  $L$ , such that  $f^{-1}(L) = \emptyset$  then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to the Klein bottle or  $S^n \times S^1$ . However the Klein bottle cannot admit a 2-flat submersion because it cannot be embedded in 3-space.

#### 4. Embeddings in real projective $n$ -space $RP^n$ .

In [1] it was shown that if  $\Pi: S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  is 2-flat it is possible to construct an embedding  $S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow RP^3$ , related to the Hopf map  $h: S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ . In this section we show how to obtain an embedding  $M^m \rightarrow RP^{n+k}$  if we have a  $k$ -flat submersion  $\Pi: M^m \rightarrow S^n$ . Let us take  $F: M^m \rightarrow R^k$ , associated with  $\Pi$

If necessary, we replace  $F$  by  $F': M^m \rightarrow R^k$  such that  $F'(M) \subset R^{+k}$ . We take  $\Psi: S^n \times R^k \rightarrow RP^n$  defined by  $\Psi(x,y) = [x,y]$ , where  $[x,y]$  is the 1-dimensional subspace of  $R^{n+k+1}$  determined by  $(x,y)$ . The rank of  $\Psi$  is  $n+k$  and it is straightforward to check that  $\Psi O(\Pi, F)$  is an embedding.

Thanks are due to Stewart A. Robertson for suggesting the proof of proposition 2, much simpler than our previous one.

#### References

- [1] - Robertson, S.A. - Permutations associated with critical points on surfaces J. London Math. Soc. 37 (1962), 329-337.
- [2] - Robertson, S.A. - The dual of a height function, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 8 (1974), 187-192.
- [3] - Robertson, S.A. and Chillingworth, D.R.J. - Horizon maps (to appear).
- [4] - Spanier, E.H. - *Algebraic Topology*, Tata McGraw-Hill, New Delhi (1966).